## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Shaniyah Young,	CASE NO.:
Plaintiff,	
v.	COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Requested)
Eye Health America, LLC d/b/a Carolinas	
Centers for Sight,	
Defendant.	

### **JURISDICTION AND PARTIES**

- 1. This suit is brought, and jurisdiction lies pursuant to the §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), Title VII of the US Civil Rights Act of 1964, racial discrimination under 42 U.S.C. §1981, as amended, and on the basis of sex (female), in violation of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), and in violation of the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg).
- 2. All conditions precedent to jurisdiction under § 706 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 have occurred or been complied with.
  - a. A charge of employment discrimination on basis of race discrimination, gender discrimination, pregnancy discrimination, retaliation and retaliatory discharge was filed by the Plaintiff with Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
  - b. Notification of the Right to Sue was received from EEOC on or about June 14, 2023.
  - c. This Complaint has been filed within 90 days of receipt of EEOC's Dismissal and Notice of the Right to Sue.
- 3. The Plaintiff, Shaniyah Young, at all relevant times herein, is a citizen and resident of the State of South Carolina, and resided in Sumter County, South Carolina.
- 4. All discriminatory employment practices alleged herein were committed within the State of South Carolina.
- 5. The Defendant, Eye Health America, LLC d/b/a Carolinas Centers for Sight, is a foreign corporation organized in the State of Delaware and operating under the laws of the State of South Carolina.

- 6. The Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), §701 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, 42 U.S.C. §1981, Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), and Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg).
- 7. The Defendant is an industry that affects commerce within the meaning of the §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, 42 U.S.C. §1981, Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), and Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg).
- 8. The Defendant employs fifteen (15) or more employees and is an "employer" within the meaning of §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), §701 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, 42 U.S.C. §1981, Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), and Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg).
- 9. The parties, matters and all things hereinafter alleged are within the jurisdiction of the Court.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 10. On or about May 24, 2021, Plaintiff began working for Defendant as an Ophthalmic Technician.
- 11. That at all times relevant, the Plaintiff was effective and efficient at her work. The Plaintiff was the only African American employee in the practice.
- 12. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was informed McKenzie Stewart, HR Business Partner, that she was pregnant.
- 13. On or about December 9, 2021, Alecia Davis, Senior HR Business Partner, informed Plaintiff of her possible leave options after Plaintiff's baby was born.
- 14. On February 22, 2022, Plaintiff submitted two doctor's notes to Erin Hamer, Regional Business Manager, and Ann Rush, Regional Director of Operations, and asked for accommodations as recommended by her doctor.
- 15. On or about March 3, 2022, Plaintiff was denied accommodations, and terminated from her employment. Ms. Davis told Plaintiff that "they did not have any light duty work." The reasons for Plaintiff's termination were in pretext to Plaintiff's pregnancy, race, gender, and sex.
- 16. Plaintiff's coworker, Madison Cameron (Caucasian), received preferential treatment. When Ms. Cameron was pregnant, her doctor recommended light duty work, and she was accommodated by the Defendant.

17. It was the duty of the Defendants, by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, to prevent such acts and behavior from occurring and to stop it once the behavior had been reported by the Plaintiff.

# **FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION** (Violation of Title VII - Racial Discrimination)

- 18. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiff is a member of a protected group on the basis of her race. Plaintiff was retaliated against and terminated based on her race in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1981 and Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), the South Carolina Human Affairs Law and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act.
- 20. Defendant was wanton, reckless, willful and intentional in the discrimination of the Plaintiff in the following particulars, to wit:
  - a. In failing to continue to employ Plaintiff due to her race;
  - b. In showing preferential treatment to white employees in the same circumstances and detrimental treatment to Plaintiff;
  - c. In discharging Plaintiff due to her race and in retaliation for engaging in protected activity by reporting the discrimination and inappropriate behaviors; and
  - d. That in failing to protect Plaintiff from racial discrimination, detrimental treatment and retaliation, Defendant acted with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights set out under 42 U.S.C. §1981 and Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), the South Carolina Human Affairs Law and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act.
- 21. Defendant violated 42 U.S.C. §1981 and Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), the South Carolina Human Affairs Law and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act by allowing the racial discrimination, detrimental treatment and retaliation to exist in the workplace.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discrimination and retaliation on the basis of race, Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages, benefits, and employment opportunities.
- 23. Defendant's employment discrimination and retaliation of Plaintiff has caused, continues to cause, and will cause Plaintiff to suffer substantial pecuniary losses, embarrassment, humiliation, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses.
- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages from Defendant as a result of the employment discrimination as alleged above.

25. Due to the acts of Defendant, its agents and employees, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages, back wages, plus interest, payment for lost benefits, and reinstatement of benefits and front pay.

## <u>FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Violation of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act – Discrimination)

- 26. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. The Plaintiff is a member of a protected group on the basis of her pregnancy. The Plaintiff was an employee in a position for which she was qualified, became pregnant and was discriminated against due to her pregnancy in violation of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)).
- 28. The Defendant was wanton and intentional in the discrimination against the Plaintiff in the following particulars, to wit:
  - a. In discriminating against the Plaintiff due to her pregnancy;
  - b. In treating the Plaintiff less favorably with respect to her compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment due to her pregnancy; and
  - c. In other particulars which discovery may show.
- 29. By reason of the aforesaid recklessness, willfulness and wantonness of the Defendant, the Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress.
- 30. The Defendant violated the Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), by allowing the discrimination to exist in the workplace.
- 31. The Plaintiff's pregnancy was a determining factor in the disparate treatment and discrimination against her.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and practices of the Defendant in the discrimination of the Plaintiff, she has suffered and continues to suffer from emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, damage to Plaintiff's reputation, loss of income and other past and future losses, to include back pay, front pay, attorney's fees and costs.

# FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Title VII - Gender Discrimination)

33. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

- 34. Plaintiff is a member of a protected group on the basis of her sex and gender. Plaintiff was discriminated against due to her sex and gender (female) in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e.
  - 35. Plaintiff had a satisfactory job performance while she worked for the Defendant.
- 36. Plaintiff asserts that the preferential treatment was a mere pretext for the discrimination against Plaintiff based on her gender and sex (female).
- 37. Defendant was wanton, reckless, wanton and intentional in the discrimination of the Plaintiff in the following particulars, to wit:
  - a. In failing to continue to employ Plaintiff due to her gender and sex (female);
  - b. In discharging Plaintiff due to her gender and sex (female); and
  - c. In discharging Plaintiff for reporting gender discrimination and inappropriate behaviors.
- 38. That in failing to protect Plaintiff from sex and/or gender discrimination, or preferential treatment, Defendant acted with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights set out under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), and Equal Employment Opportunity Act.
- 39. Defendant violated the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq.), by allowing the sex and/or gender discrimination and preferential treatment to exist in the workplace.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discrimination on the basis of sex and/or gender, Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages, benefits, and employment opportunities.
- 41. Defendant's employment discrimination of Plaintiff has caused, continues to cause, and will cause Plaintiff to suffer substantial damages for pecuniary losses, embarrassment, humiliation, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses.
- 42. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages from Defendant as a result of the employment discrimination as alleged above.
- 43. Due to the acts of Defendant, its agents and employees, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages, back wages, plus interest, payment for lost benefits, and reinstatement of benefits and front pay.

## FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of Pregnant Workers Fairness Act

44. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

- 45. At all times the Plaintiff was performing her job satisfactorily.
- 46. The Plaintiff is a member of a protected group on the basis of her pregnancy.
- 47. The Plaintiff was discriminated against and denied reasonable accommodations due to her pregnancy in violation of the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg).
- 48. Similarly situated female employees received preferential treatment by being able to be accommodated with light duty without the repercussions to which the Plaintiff was subjected, due to her pregnancy.
- 49. The Plaintiff engaged in a protected activity by reporting the Defendant's unlawful employment practices and filing a charge with the EEOC.
- 50. The Defendant was wanton, reckless, wanton and intentional in the discrimination of the Plaintiff in the following particulars, to wit:
  - a. In failing to provide reasonable accommodations to the Plaintiff due to her pregnancy;
  - b. In failing to continue to employ the Plaintiff due to her pregnancy; and
  - c. In discharging the Plaintiff due to her pregnancy as a result of her opposing the Defendant's unlawful employment practices and for filing reports of the discrimination with the EEOC.
- 51. The Defendant violated the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg), by allowing the pregnancy discrimination and preferential treatment, and retaliation to exist in the workplace.
- 52. That in failing to protect the Plaintiff from pregnancy discrimination, and retaliation or preferential treatment, the Defendant acted with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights set out under Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg) and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's discrimination on the basis of pregnancy, the Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages, benefits, and employment opportunities.
- 54. The Defendant's employment discrimination and discharge of the Plaintiff has caused, continues to cause, and will cause the Plaintiff to suffer substantial damages for pecuniary losses, embarrassment, humiliation, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses.
- 55. Due to the acts of the Defendant, its agents and employees, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages, to include punitive damages, attorney's fees and costs, back

wages, plus interest, payment for lost benefits, and reinstatement of benefits and front pay, and all other remuneration to which she may be entitled by law.

# FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the ADA – Failure to Accommodate

- 56. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. The Plaintiff is an individual with a "disability" within the meaning of §3(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12102(2). More particularly, Plaintiff has a physical impairment that substantially limits one or more of her major life activities and has a record of such impairment. If Plaintiff did not have a disability as defined int eh Act, she was someone perceived to have a disability.
- 58. The Plaintiff is a "qualified individual with a disability" as that term is defined in §101(8) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12111(8). More specifically, Plaintiff is an individual with a disability who can perform the essential functions of her job and other jobs in Defendant's employ. If Plaintiff did not have a disability as defined int eh Act, she was someone perceived to have a disability.
  - 59. As a result of her disability, the Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodation of light duty.
- 60. The Defendant declined, without just cause, to provide those accommodations to the Plaintiff.
- 61. The Defendant has also failed to undertake any good faith efforts, in consultation with Plaintiff, to identify and make other reasonable accommodations.

# FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Retaliation

- 62. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. As alleged above, Plaintiff satisfactorily performed her essential and fundamental job functions and was an exemplary employee in all respects. Upon reporting the detrimental treatment and discrimination based on her race, sex, gender, and pregnancy, Plaintiff was terminated from her position in retaliation for asserting her rights under §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §1981 and Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.), Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg), and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act.
- 64. That the aforesaid conduct of Defendant, its agents and servants, violates South Carolina and United States laws against retaliatory discharge and was, in fact, retaliatory in nature and in violation of §107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12117 (hereinafter "ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §1981 and Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e *et seq.*), Pregnancy Discrimination Act (42 U.S.C. §2000e(k)), and the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (42 U.S.C. §2000gg), and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act.

- 65. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages from Defendant as a result of Defendant's retaliation as alleged above.
- 66. That the aforesaid conduct of Defendant, its agents and servants, violates South Carolina and United States laws against retaliation and was, in fact, retaliatory in nature.
- 67. Due to the acts of Defendant, its agents and employees, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and/or civil damages, back wages, plus interest, payment for lost benefits, and reinstatement of benefits and front pay.

### REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- 68. The Plaintiff reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.
- 69. Due to the acts of the Defendant, the Plaintiff suffered great emotional and mental distress, terror, fright, revulsion, disgust, humiliation, embarrassment, shock and indignity, lost wages, loss of front pay, back pay, and other work benefits.
- 70. By reason of such wrongful acts of the Defendant, the Plaintiff has been damaged in such an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

### WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 1. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for all causes of action in an amount which is fair, just and reasonable, and for compensatory damages;
- 2. Prejudgment interest, costs and attorney's fees as may be allowed by law;
- 3. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant with back pay and associated benefits she would have earned with all lost or diminished benefits such date to be determined by the trier of fact;
- 4. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for front pay and any other work benefits she lost in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact;
- 5. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant for embarrassment and humiliation, and emotional distress in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact; and
- 6. Judgment against the Defendant, in such an amount of actual damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees, costs of this action and any other relief this Honorable Court deems allowable under law, just and proper.

(Signature on following page)

## WIGGER LAW FIRM, INC.

s/Michael A. Brooks

Michael A. Brooks (Fed. I.D. #14005) Attorney for Plaintiff 8086 Rivers Avenue, Suite A North Charleston, SC 29406 Telephone: (843) 553-9800

North Charleston, South Carolina August 16, 2023